

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/838,494	04/19/2001	Robert B. Havekost	06005/37172	8946		
4743	7590 06/15/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP 233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300			SWARTHOUT, BRENT			
SEARS TOW	,	U	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO, IL 60606		2636				

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(1	B
	١	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/838,494	HAVEKOST, ROBERT B.	
I	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brent A. Swarthout	2636	

Advisory Action	09/838,494	HAVEKOST, ROBE	RT B.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brent A. Swarthout	2636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
		•				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	REPLY FILED <u>28 March 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.	•			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ly reduce any			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must the AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) be filed within the time period set for the filed within the time the filed within the time the filed within the time the filed within the time the filed within the filed within the time the time the time the time the time the time the time the time the time the time), to avoid dismissal on orth in 37 CFR 41.37(of the appeal. a).			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.				
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). ✓ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 						
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-8,10-26 and 28-38. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	vided below or appended.					
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	is necessary			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessared. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3. Other: The advisory action mailed 5-23-05 inadvertantly had box 1(a) checked, when box 1(b) should have been checked, ince the response filed on March 28 2005 was filed on a Monday and is considered to have been filed within two months from the nailing date of the Final Rejection.						
	BRENT A. SWARTHOL	TBrent A Swarthout	~r			

PRIMARY EXAMINER Art Unit: 2636

Application No.
Part of Paper No. 06072005

Continuation of 3. NOTE: See note in previous Advisory action mailed 5-23-05.